

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
EASTERN DIVISION

GENE COGGINS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	CASE NO. 3:07-cv-1028-WKW-TFM
)	
JERRY THROWER, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER ON MOTION

Upon referral of this case for action on all pretrial matters and for recommendation as may be appropriate (Doc. #4), the Court considers Plaintiff's second renewed *Motion to Proceed in forma pauperis* (Doc. #2, filed November 21, 2007).¹

The court wishes the plaintiff to understand *fully* the limited nature of being allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis*. This status does permit the plaintiff to *commence* this lawsuit without *prepayment* of fees and court costs, but it does not permit the plaintiff to maintain the lawsuit without incurring any personal expenses.

The Plaintiff should understand that he *may* incur expenses as a result of the prosecution of this case, and there is no provision for the court's payment of those expenses. For example, in the event of a trial, the Plaintiff may compel the attendance of witnesses through subpoena *only* by tendering to each witness payment of a one-day witness fee of \$40,

¹ Plaintiff included an amended affidavit which allowed the Court to determine his eligibility to proceed *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

plus mileage. Additionally, court costs, in varying amounts, can be very substantial, and they are normally assessed against the losing party. This means that a plaintiff who loses a case may be charged with, and obligated to pay, *all* court costs, even though the plaintiff is proceeding *in forma pauperis*,

Having advised the Plaintiff of the possible expense of litigation, it is

ORDERED that the *Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis* (Doc. #2) is hereby **GRANTED**. For good cause, it is further **ORDERED** that service of this Complaint IS **HEREBY STAYED** pending review under 28 U.S.C. §1915.

DONE this 13th day of December, 2007.

/s/Terry F. Moorer
TERRY F. MOORER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE